

3C 216: A Powerful FR II Seyfert 1 Galaxy

Brian Punsly

4014 Emerald Street No.116, Torrance CA, USA 90503 and International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, I.C.R.A., University of Rome La Sapienza, I-00185 Roma, Italy

brian.m.punsly@L-3com.com or brian.punsly@gte.net

ABSTRACT

3C 216 has a weak accretion flow luminosity, well below the Seyfert1/QSO dividing line, weak broad emission lines (BELs) and powerful radio lobes. As a consequence of the extreme properties of 3C 216, it is the most convincing example known of an FR II radio source that is kinetically dominated: the jet kinetic luminosity, Q , is larger than the total thermal luminosity (IR to X-ray) of the accretion flow, L_{bol} . Using three independent estimators for the central black hole mass, we find that the jet in 3C 216 is very super-Eddington, $3.3L_{Edd} < \overline{Q} < 10L_{Edd}$, where $\overline{Q}$ is the long term time averaged $Q(t)$, calculated at 151 MHz. It is argued that 3C 216 satisfies the contemporaneous kinetically dominated condition, $R(t) \equiv Q(t)/L_{bol}(t) > 1$, either presently or in the past based on the rarity of $L_{bol} > L_{Edd}$ quasars. The existence of $R(t) > 1$ AGN is a strong constraint on the theory of the central engine of FR II radio sources.

Subject headings: quasars: general — individual (3C 216)— galaxies: jets— galaxies: active— accretion disks — black holes

1. Introduction

This article describes the extreme properties of the radio source 3C 216 and the implications to the theory of the central engines of FR II radio sources. It has powerful lobe emission that implies an enormous time averaged jet kinetic luminosity ($\overline{Q} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{46}$ ergs/s), narrow emission lines (~ 1800 km/s), and it has a very low accretion flow thermal luminosity, $L_{bol} < 10^{45}$ ergs/s (below the Seyfert 1/QSO dividing line). If it were not for the powerful jet seen in a pole-on orientation, 3C 216 would be a very ordinary Seyfert 1 galaxy: $L_{bol} \gtrsim 10^{44}$ ergs/s and $L_{bol}/L_{Edd} \approx 0.05$. This object is unusual in that Seyfert 1 galaxies are typically radio quiet and $\overline{Q}$ in 3C 216 is an order of magnitude larger than that of any other jet known to emanate from a Seyfert 1 nucleus (Punsly et al 2005). The Mid-IR sample of

Ogle et al (2006) made it clear that many FR II narrow line radio galaxies (NLRGs) had no hidden quasar, but it was unclear if there was a faint central broad line AGN and how many (if any) of the objects had unobstructed lines of sight to the accretion disk. The blazar core in 3C 216 makes the orientation unambiguous, there is a direct line of sight to the BEL region (BLR, hereafter) and accretion disk. The $\text{Ly}\alpha$ line is broad with $\text{FWHM} = 2600$ km/sec, in an HST spectrum, Wills et al. (1995), and anything over 1500 km/sec is "out of family" with even the most luminous, high redshift NLRGs (Patrick McCarthy private communication 2006). Thus, 3C 216 is a BEL AGN and is clearly distinct from a typical obscured FR II NLRG (Antonucci 1993). These properties allow one to assert that the standard astronomical estimators indicate a very small central black hole mass $M_{bh} \sim 10^7 M_\odot$ and the jet has a time averaged Eddington luminosity, $3.3 < \overline{Q}_{Edd} \equiv \overline{Q}/L_{Edd} < 10$.

It is not known how powerful an FR II jet can be relative to the thermal radiative luminosity from accretion, L_{bol} . Understanding the limits of jet power can help reveal the physical nature of the quasar central engine. The primary conundrum posed by such a task is that L_{bol} and Q need to be estimated contemporaneously and there is no reliable method for measuring the instantaneous $Q(t)$ (see section 2). The only reliable estimates that we have are for $\overline{Q}$. Some $\overline{R} \equiv \overline{Q}/L_{bol} > 1$ sources were found in Punsly and Tingay (2006). Unfortunately the $\overline{Q}$ estimate is not contemporaneous with the L_{bol} data, so one can not say if these sources presently satisfy or ever satisfied $R(t) \equiv Q(t)/L_{bol}(t) > 1$, (the energy flux emerging from the AGN in the jet exceeds that of the accretion disk radiation at the time, t). The fact that 3C 216 is so extreme, $\overline{Q} > 3.3L_{Edd}$, allows us to overcome the limitations of using $\overline{Q}$ and deduce that episodes of $R(t) > 1$ exist in FR II radio sources (in this paper, we adopt the following cosmological parameters: $H_0=70$ km/s/Mpc, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ and $\Omega_m = 0.3$ in the calculations). There is no evidence that any quasar accretion flow can create an equal level of L_{bol} for the lifetime of a radio source, or even a short period of time for that matter. Thus, $R(t) > 1$, either presently or in the past based on the rarity of $L_{bol} > L_{Edd}$ quasars. This makes the most convincing argument to date for a kinetically dominated jet, $R(t) > 1$, in an FR II radio source.

2. Estimating The Time Averaged Kinetic Luminosity

Even though one can use the jet emission from the parsec scale radio core to estimate, Q more contemporaneously with the accretion flow emission as in Celotti et al (1997), such estimates are prone to be very inaccurate. A review of these issues can be found in Punsly and Tingay (2005) and a published example in which the Q is apparently miscalculated using radio core properties by three orders of magnitude is discussed explicitly. Thus, the most

accurate estimates of Q should rely on isotropic properties. An isotropic method that allows one to convert 151 MHz flux densities, F_{151} (measured in Jy), into estimates of $\overline{Q}$ (measured in ergs/s), was developed in Willott et al. (1999); Blundell and Rawlings (2000), the result is captured by the formula derived in Punsly (2005):

$$\overline{Q} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{45} [(1+z)^{1+\alpha} Z^2 F_{151}]^{\frac{6}{7}} \text{ ergs/s} = 1.51 \times 10^{46} \text{ ergs/sec} , \quad (2-1)$$

$$Z \equiv 3.31 - (3.65)$$

$$\times \left([(1+z)^4 - 0.203(1+z)^3 + 0.749(1+z)^2 + 0.444(1+z) + 0.205]^{-0.125} \right) , \quad (2-2)$$

where F_{151} is the total optically thin flux density from the lobes (i.e., **no contribution from Doppler boosted jet or the radio core**). We define the radio spectral index, α , as $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$. Alternatively, one can also use the independently derived isotropic estimator from Punsly (2005)

$$\overline{Q} \approx 5.7 \times 10^{44} (1+z)^{1+\alpha} Z^2 F_{151} \text{ ergs/s} = 1.41 \times 10^{46} \text{ ergs/s} , \quad \alpha = 1.09 . \quad (2-3)$$

In order to utilize the LHS of these equations, one must extricate the diffuse lobe emission from the Doppler boosted core of 3C 216. Fortunately, there are many high dynamic range radio maps of 3C 216 (Fejes et al. 1992; Barthel et al. 1988; Pearson et al. 1985). The 408 MHz MERLIN map of Fejes et al. (1992) shows a very luminous halo enveloping the core. The blazar-like, variable, flat spectrum radio core surrounded by a diffuse halo is the classic configuration of an FR II AGN viewed close to the jet axis in the "standard model" (Antonucci 1993). Using the numerous photometric data points in NED and the core fluxes from Fejes et al. (1992); Barthel et al. (1988); Pearson et al. (1985), one can fit the radio spectrum from 86 MHz to 37 GHz very accurately with a simple two component model. The core is variable and flat spectrum with an average flux density of about 0.9 Jy up to 37 GHz, where the spectrum starts to turnover. The other component is very steep with $\alpha \approx 1.09$. This is the halo emission which achieves a flux density of 26.4 mJy at 151 GHz. The estimators (2.1) and (2.3) are most accurate for steep lobe emission as in 3C 216 (Willott et al. 1999; Punsly 2005).

3. Estimating the Bolometric Luminosity

The total bolometric luminosity of the accretion flow, L_{bol} , is the thermal emission from the accretion flow, including any radiation in broad emission lines from photo-ionized gas, from IR to X-ray. To estimate, L_{bol} , we use the composite SED in table 2 of Punsly and Tingay (2006), which see for details. This spectrum, in combination with the BELs,

represents the “typical” radiative signature of a strong accretion flow onto a black hole. This signature is empirical and it is independent of all theoretical models of the accretion flow. If $L(\nu)_{\text{obs}}$ is the observed spectral luminosity at the AGN rest frame frequency, ν , then L_{bol} is estimated as

$$L_{\text{bol}} = 1.35 \frac{\nu L(\nu)_{\text{obs}}}{\nu L(\nu)_{\text{com}}} \times 10^{46} \text{ergs/sec} < 1.01 \times 10^{45} \text{erg/s}, \quad (3-1)$$

where $L(\nu)_{\text{com}}$ is the spectral luminosity from the composite SED.

The problem with applying the LHS of (3.1) to 3C 216 is that one must subtract off the high frequency tail of the synchrotron jet. The optical component is prodigious compared to the accretion disk. The optical emission in 3C 216 is marked by extreme variability, 21% optical polarization, Impey et al. (1991), and a steep power law in the optical, all confirming that the jet emission dominates. The best way to dig through this powerful jet emission down to the hidden accretion disk luminosity is to observe the object many times and hopefully measure 3C 216 in a low state. A low state was observed in Gelderman and Whittle (1994), a flux density of $1.7 \times 10^{-17} \text{ergs/s/\AA}$ at $4190\text{\AA}$ in the QSO frame. This result inserted into the LHS of (3.1), yields the numerical estimate on the RHS of (3.1). However, the optical spectral index is very steep, $\alpha = 2.65$, so it is likely that the underlying continuum is still hidden by the jet and this is verified by the BEL strengths discussed below.

Another method that is commonly used for estimating L_{bol} in blazars is to compare the line strengths to a composite SED (Celotti et al 1997; Wang et al. 2004). From Lawrence et al. (1996), the line strengths for MgII and H β are $8.7 \times 10^{41} \text{erg/s}$ and $7.6 \times 10^{41} \text{erg/s}$, respectively. Since the line strengths are so weak, subtraction of the narrow line component from H β to get the broad component, H β_{BC} , is essential for accuracy. We employ the prescription commonly used, subtract 0.1 of the [OIII] 5007 narrow line (which is the typical ratio of line strengths in NLRGs) from the H β (McCarthy 1993; Marziani et al 2006). The H β_{BC} line strength is $4.6 \times 10^{41} \text{erg/s}$ and the $F(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}) = 1685 \text{ km/s}$ (where $F()$ means “FWHM of”, hereafter). Using the estimators in eqn(1) of Wang et al. (2004), $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{44} \text{erg/s}$. There is no similar standard prescription for the narrow line subtraction in MgII (McClure and Dunlop 2004). The MgII line, without the narrow line subtraction, can still provide a useful upper bound, $L_{\text{bol}} < 1.4 \times 10^{44} \text{erg/s}$ (Wang et al. 2004). Note that the BEL estimates of L_{bol} and (2.1)- (2.3), imply that $\bar{R} \equiv \bar{Q}/L_{\text{bol}} > 100!$

4. Estimating the Black Hole Mass

We estimate M_{bh} by two independent methods:, first we use the continuum flux density and the FWHM of the low ionization BELs and secondly from the host galaxy bulge

luminosity - mass relation. The estimate, (4.1), is derived from the virial assumption in Vestergaard and Peterson (2006) applied to a sample of 32 reverberation measurements of AGN that provide the velocity ($\sim$ FWHM) and the radius of the BLR (time-delay times c). A best fit BLR radius - continuum luminosity curve is created for these AGN. Using this relation, $L_\lambda(5100\text{\AA})$ of a single epoch observation can be used as a surrogate for the radius of the BLR. Inserting the continuum flux from Gelderman and Whittle (1994) into eqn(5) of Vestergaard and Peterson (2006) yields,

$$M_{bh}(H\beta) = 10^{6.91 \pm 0.02} \left(\frac{F(H\beta_{BC})}{1000 \text{ km/s}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda L_\lambda(5100\text{\AA})}{10^{44} \text{ ergs/s}} \right)^{0.50} < 2.6 \times 10^7 M_\odot . \quad (4-1)$$

The assumption behind this method of taking the FWHM and $L_\lambda(5100\text{\AA})$ from different observations is the following. $L_\lambda(5100\text{\AA})$ in (4.1) represents the ionizing continuum flux. This flux originates in the accretion disk, since the optical flux from the jet is beamed orthogonal to and away from the low ionization BEL gas (Wills and Browne 1986). The accretion disk $L_\lambda(5100\text{\AA})$ is assumed to be fairly constant on the time scale of years and it is the masking radiation of the synchrotron jet that is changing in intensity. The low states gives the most accurate upper bound on $L_\lambda(5100\text{\AA})$. The inequality sign in (4.1) is there because we don't know if the observed spectrum has some contribution from the jet that increases $L_\lambda(5100\text{\AA})$ above the actual ionizing source value. This is a very low mass for an FRII radio source so it is fortunate that we have a second mass estimate for verification. Using $F(\text{Mg II}) = 1790 \text{ km/s}$ from Lawrence et al. (1996) and the continuum flux density at $3000\text{\AA}$ (extrapolated from the $4190\text{\AA}$ value in Gelderman and Whittle (1994), with $\alpha = 0.7$ as in the SED of Punsly and Tingay (2006)) in the estimator of Kong et al. (2006) provides a second estimate:

$$M_{bh}(\text{MgII}) = 3.4 \times 10^6 \left(\frac{F(\text{MgII})}{1000 \text{ km/s}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda L_\lambda(3000\text{\AA})}{10^{44} \text{ ergs/s}} \right)^{0.58 \pm 0.10} < 1.7 \times 10^7 M_\odot . \quad (4-2)$$

The results in (4.1) and (4.2) are consistent indicating that the virial estimates are tracking the gravitational potential.

The most obvious source of error in the virial mass estimates is the effect of near pole-on orientation. We quantify the orientation correction by defining a sample of extremely superluminal quasars with a projected apparent velocity on the plane of the sky of $\beta_a \geq 10$ (viewed virtually pole-on) and comparing their FWHM to "average" off-axis lines of sight, steep spectrum, radio loud quasars (SSQSRs). The $\beta_a \geq 10$ quasars have mean $\overline{F}(H\beta)$ and $\overline{F}(\text{Mg II})$ of $3955 \pm 1364 \text{ km/s}$, and $4125 \pm 1997 \text{ km/s}$, respectively and the SSQSRs have mean FWHM of 5772 ± 3533 and $6398 \pm 2668 \text{ km/s}$ (Punsly 2007). If 3C 216 is typical of the $\beta_a \geq 10$ extreme blazar population then the factor which M_{bh} has been underestimated

in (4.1) and (4.2) due to orientation effects can be approximated by $0.47 = (3955/5772)^2$ for $H\beta$ and $0.42 = (4125/6398)^2$ for $MgII$. This analysis of $\beta_a \geq 10$ quasars is in line with the orientation correction factor for flat spectrum quasars (FSQSRs) of 0.5 determined in Oshlack et al (2002) and the inference from the sample of Jarvis and McClure (2006) is a correction, $(\overline{F}(FSQSRs)/\overline{F}(SSQSRs))^2 = (4990/6464)^2 = 0.6$.

In the last section, it was noted that the line strengths and the continuum spectral index indicate that L_{bol} is overestimated in (3.1) by a factor ~ 8 . Thus the effects of overestimating L_{bol} and the pole-on orientation almost cancel out in (4.1) and (4.2). The estimates corrected for orientation and and continuum luminosity are:

$$M_{bh} = (\frac{1}{0.47\sqrt{8}})2.6 \times 10^7 M_{\odot} = 2.0 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}, \quad H\beta \quad (4-3)$$

$$M_{bh} = (\frac{1}{0.42(8)^{0.58}})1.7 \times 10^7 M_{\odot} = 1.2 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}, \quad MgII, \quad (4-4)$$

The estimates in (4.3) and (4.4) are consistent with each other, but it is best to verify the result with a completely independent method such as one based on the galactic bulge luminosity. The HST image has been given in deVries et al. (1997); Lehnert et al. (1999). This source is not trivial. The high resolution contour map in deVries et al. (1997) shows a strong optical jet directed to the southeast that is coincident with the VLBI jet, Barthel et al. (1988); Fejes et al. (1992), representing $> 55\%$ of the extended optical flux. There is a region of diffuse extended luminosity that is coincident with the northern radio lobe in the 1.6 GHz MERLIN map of Fejes et al. (1992). To the east, there is excess emission enveloping the jet that is likely to be narrow line emission (McCarthy 1993). The host galaxy bulge represents only 12% of the total extended optical flux which equates to a k corrected value of $M_R \approx -21.3$ (Fukujita et al. 1995). Inserting $M_R = -21.3$ into the best fit estimator derived from 72 AGN in table 3 of McClure and Dunlop (2002), yields $M_{bh} = 3.5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ in close agreement with (4.3) and (4.4).

5. A Super Eddington Jet

The M_{bh} estimates combined with the $\overline{Q}$ estimates in section 2, imply, $3.3 < \overline{Q}_{Edd} < 10$. A value of $\overline{Q}_{Edd} > 3.3$ suggests that even if the jet central engine is currently in a low state then at some epoch during the lifetime of the source it must have had $R(t) > 1$. For example, if 3C 216 is not now nor has ever been kinetically dominated then the on average $\overline{L}_{bol} > 3.3L_{Edd}$ for the lifetime of the source. However, since $\overline{Q} = (1/T) \int_0^T Q(t)dt$, the peak values of the instantaneous $Q(t)$, $\max_t [Q(t)] > 3.3L_{Edd}$. Thus, one expects that $\max_t [L_{bol}(t)]$ would have to exceed $3.3L_{Edd}$ by a significant amount at certain epochs in

order for the 3C 216 to have always been in an $R(t) < 1$ state. This is inconsistent with the magnitudes of the peaks in the duty cycle of quasar $L_{bol}(t)/L_{Edd}$ based on our current knowledge, as discussed below.

Applying (4.1) to a sample of 232 broad line, $F(H\beta) > 2000\text{km/s}$, AGN (138 radio loud and 94 radio quiet) that have been used in published estimates of M_{bh} in Vestergaard and Peterson (2006); Gu et al. (2001); Baskin and Laor (2004); McClure and Dunlop (2001); Oshlack et al (2002); Wang et al. (2004); Punsly and Tingay (2005) reveal no $L_{bol} > L_{Edd}$ sources. In regards to narrow line AGN, it was noted in McClure and Jarvis (2002) that many have broad UV lines which indicates that $H\beta$ is not always an accurate tracker of the gravitational potential. This claim is borne out by the 13 narrow line objects with $L_{bol} > L_{Edd}$ in the combined, broad and narrow line, sample of 268 AGN from the references above. Archival UV emission lines are available for 9 of the 13 objects. All 9 show broad UV lines. When $F(\text{MgII})$ is inserted into (4.2) and $F(\text{CIV})$ is inserted into eqn(7) of Vestergaard and Peterson (2006), these sources are transformed into sub-Eddington AGN. The best available data indicates that $L_{bol} > L_{Edd}$ is a rare or nonexistent phase in the duty cycle of a quasar activity. The most likely explanation of the $Q_{Edd} \sim 5$ estimates is that 3C 216 experienced epochs in which $R(t) \sim 5 - 10$ as opposed to the alternative explanation that it had protracted phases in which $L_{bol}(t)/L_{Edd} \sim 5 - 10$.

6. Discussion

The discussion of 3C 216 demonstrates that FR II sources seem to exist in a state of $R(t) > 1$. The $\overline{Q}_{Edd} \sim 5$ jet in 3C 216 must satisfy $\max_t [R(t)] > 1$, or else the accretion disk would episodically be in an un-physical state of $L_{bol}(t)/L_{Edd} \gg 1$. This results is important because it reflects on the physical nature of the central engine.

As a consequence of the near independence of the UV spectrum on the radio state, deVries et al. (2006); Corbin and Francis (1994), it has been argued that the black hole and not the accretion disk is the power source for FR II jets (Semenov et al. 2004). However, a large scale magnetic flux trapped in the vortex inside the accretion disk can tap the black hole rotational energy as seen in the MHD numerical simulations of Hawley and Krolik (2006); McKinney (2005); McKinney and Gammie (2004); Semenov et al. (2004). Yet, it is not a trivial matter to obtain the $R > 1$ condition. The simulations of Hawley and Krolik (2006); McKinney (2005); McKinney and Gammie (2004) are very similar and rely on the magnetic flux threading the event horizon of the black hole to source the jet. These simulations are hard pressed to achieve $R \gtrsim 0.1$ for accretion disk parameters representative of quasars (i.e., an accretion disk radiating near its maximum thin disk efficiency, $\epsilon > 0.2$, Elvis et

al. (2002)). This derives from the fact that the simulations of Hawley and Krolik (2006); McKinney (2005); McKinney and Gammie (2004) do not produce a net extraction of black hole energy, the black hole actually absorbs energy during the simulation. By far the largest R values occur in the new simulations of Hawley and Krolik (2006) that are parameterized by the black hole spin parameter, $a/M = 0.99$. For accretion disks with quasar-like parameters (see table 4 of Hawley and Krolik (2006)), $R = 0.8$. However, this is an overestimate of R that is driven by the numerical resolution of the model (McKinney private communication 2006). Increasing the resolution in the numerical mesh near the equatorial plane allows short wavelength MRI modes to propagate in the accretion disk, which in turn increases the accretion rate, so $R < 0.5$ (McKinney and Gammie 2004; McKinney 2005). Even so, obtaining $R \gtrsim 0.1$, in Hawley and Krolik (2006); McKinney (2005); McKinney and Gammie (2004) requires a very massive enveloping wind to collimate the jet. In the $a/M = 0.99$, $R = 0.8$ model of Hawley and Krolik (2006), the wind transports over $1M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ outward at $0.3c - 0.4c$ for FRII quasar parameters. Although it is never stated, the thermal energy content must be enormous, $\sim 1\text{GeV}$ in order to balance the energy equation. If such massive winds existed around FRII jets they would have certainly been prominently detected in some frequency band even if they radiatively cool to a more reasonable temperature. Furthermore, in this numerical model the collimating wind transports far more energy flux than L_{bol} and Q combined, a high price for collimation.

Alternatively, the black hole energy extraction model of Punsly (2001) and references therein that was numerically realized in Semenov et al. (2004) is based on large scale magnetic flux that threads the equatorial plane of the ergosphere, the active region outside of the black hole. The solutions attain a maximum R value, R_{max} , that was calculated for rapidly rotating black holes, $a/M \approx 0.99$ in eqn (10.14) of Punsly (2001). Extending this result to lower spins

$$R_{\text{max}} \approx 11 \frac{0.3}{\epsilon} (a/M)^{10.5}, \quad 0.9 < a/M < 0.99, \quad (6-1)$$

where ϵ is the radiative efficiency of the accretion flow, $L_{bol} \equiv \epsilon(dM/dt)$ and (dM/dt) is the accretion rate from the disk to the black hole. The maximum efficiency from a thin disk is $\epsilon \approx 0.3$ (Novikov and Thorne 1973). The exponent in (6.1) was estimated by approximating the numerical data displayed in figure 10.13 of Punsly (2001) in the range, $0.9 < a/M < 0.99$. The coupling of Q to ϵ occurs in (6.1) because the ram pressure of the accretion flow regulates the maximum allowable magnetic pressure and flux in the ergosphere. For objects below the Seyfert 1/QSO dividing line, such as 3C 216, one might expect $a/M \approx 0.95$ and from Novikov and Thorne (1973), for a thin disk, $\epsilon = 0.19$: $R_{\text{max}} \approx 10$ which is probably required episodically in order to attain $\overline{Q}_{Edd} \sim 5$.

I am indebted to Ski Antonucci for his valuable comments that help propel the devel-

opment of this research. I am also thankful to Wim Devries and Chris O’Dea for sharing their HST results.

REFERENCES

- Antonucci, R.J. 1993, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **31** 473
- Barthel, P., Pearson, T., Readhead, T. 1988, *ApJL* **329** 51
- Baskin, A., Laor, A. 2004, *MNRAS* **350** L31
- Blundell, K., Rawlings, S. 2000, *AJ* **119** 1111
- Celotti, A., Padovani and Ghisellini, G. 1997, *MNRAS* **286** 415
- Corbin, M. and Francis, P. 1994, *AJ* **108** 2016
- deVries, W., Becker, R., White, R. 2006, *AJ* **131** 666
- deVries, W. et al 1997, *AJ* **110** 191
- Elvis, M., Risaliti, G., Zamorani, G. 2002, *ApJL* **565** L75
- Fejes, I., Porcas, R., Akujor, C. 1992, *A& A* **257** 459
- Fukujita, M., Shumasaku, K., Ichikawa, T. 1995, *PASP* **107** 945
- Gelderman, R. and Whittle, M. *ApJS* **91** 491
- Gu, M., Cao, X., Jiang, D.R. 2001, *MNRAS* **327** 111
- Hawley, J., Krolik, K. 2006, *ApJ* in press astro-ph/0512227
- Impey, C., Lawrence, C., Tapia, S. 1991 *ApJ* **375** 46
- Jarvis, M., McLure, R. 2006 submitted to *MNRAS* astro-ph/0603231
- Kong, M., Wu, X.-B., Wang, R., Han, J. 2006, *Chin.J.Astron.Astrophys.* in press astro-ph/0512609
- Lawrence, C. et al 1996, *ApJS* **107** 541
- Lehnert, M. et al 1999, *ApJS* **123** 351

- Marziani, P., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., Sulentic, J. 2006 astro-ph/0606678 to appear in *New Developments in Black Hole Research*, editor Paul Kreidler, pp.123-183
- McCarthy, P. 1993, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **31** 639
- McClure, R., Dunlop, J. 2001, *MNRAS* **327** 199
- McClure, R., Dunlop, J. 2002, *MNRAS* **331** 795
- McClure, R., Dunlop, J. 2004, *MNRAS* **352** 1390
- McClure, R., Jarvis, M. 2002, *MNRAS* **337** 109
- McKinney, J. and Gammie, C. 2004, *ApJ* **611** 977
- McKinney, J. 2005, *ApJL* **630** 5
- I.D. Novikov, K.S. Thorne 1973 In: *Black Holes*, ed. by C. DeWitt and B.S. DeWitt (Gordon and Breach, New York) p. 344
- Ogle, P., Whysong, D. and Antonucci, R. 2006 astro-ph/0601485
- Oshlack, A., Webster, R., Whitting, M. 2002 *ApJ* **576** 81
- Pearson, T., Perley, R., Readhead, A. 1985, *AJ* **90** 738
- Punsly, B. 2001, *Black Hole Gravitohydromagnetics* (Springer-Verlag, New York)
- Punsly, B. 2005, *ApJL* **623** L9
- Punsly, B. 2007, submitted to *ApJL*
- Punsly, B., Rodriguez, L., Tingay, S., Cellone, S. 2005, *ApJL* **633** L93
- Punsly, B., Tingay, S. 2005, *ApJL* **633** L89
- Punsly, B., Tingay, S. 2006, *ApJL* **640** 41
- Semenov, V. Dyadechkin, S., Punsly, B. 2004, *Science* **305** 978
- Vestergaard, M. and Peterson, B. 2006, *ApJ* in press astro-ph/0601303
- Wang, J.-M., Luo, B, Ho, L. 2004, *ApJL* **615** 9
- Willott, C., Rawlings, S., Blundell, K., Lacy, M. 1999, *MNRAS* **309** 1017
- Wills, B. et al 1995, *ApJ* **437** 139

Wills, B.J., Browne, I.W.A. 1986 ApJ **302** 56